| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 09/955,713 | MORGAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Theresa T Snider | 1744 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Theresa T Snider</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Brett Schenck. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>16 June 2004</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 19-98 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Examiner informed Mr. Schenck of allowability of claims 19-98. attached Examiner's Amendment, to provide for proper anteces | Mr. Schenck agreed to claim an | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation | the examiner will provide a wr e record of the substance of the | itten summary of the substance the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Oissand if a second in the |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application | int/Applicant's Representative | Signature – it appropriate) |